Judge Orders Biden: Turn Over 'Mis Judge Orders Biden: Turn Over 'Misinformation' Emails

Louisiana federal judge Terry Doughty ordered the Biden regime to fork over "all relevant emails" sent by Palace press secretary Karine Jean-Pierre and Dr. Anthony Fauci to social media platforms "regarding alleged misinformation and the censorship of social media content." They have three weeks to do it, Doughty ruled Tuesday.

Misinformation or censorship?

On Tuesday, September 6, Trump appointed Judge Terry Doughty <u>ordered</u> the palace to hand over every single email, note, message, notice or letter they exchanged with social media platforms on the subject of "*misinformation*" or censorship of social media content. The decision was great news for Missouri Attorney General Eric Schmitt and Louisiana Attorney General Jeff Landry.

They filed the lawsuit which accuses Biden officials of "<u>suppressing</u> the constitutionally protected right to free speech on elections, the COVID-19 lab leak theory, coronavirus-related lockdowns and other issues."

The Just Us Department is screaming bloody murder about the ruling. Gestapo General Merrick Garland "objected to the handing over of the email correspondence under executive privilege and presidential communications privilege." Judge Doughty told him where he can stick his objection. Misinformation is too serious a label to be slapping around willy-nilly.






"This Court believes Plaintiffs are entitled to external communications by Jean-Pierre and Dr. Fauci in their capacities as White House Press Secretary and Chief Medical Advisor" when writing to social media platforms.

Plaintiffs argue that "having threatened and cajoled social-media platforms for years to censor viewpoints and speakers disfavored by the Left, senior government officials in the Executive Branch have moved into a phase of open collusion with social-media platforms under the Orwellian guise of halting so-called '**disinformation**,' '**misinformation**,' and '**malinformation**.'"

Conservative Americans agree with that assessment. They wonder when something will actually be done to correct the problems.



Suppression of free speech

The Constitution has a few things to say on the subject of free speech and suppressing speech because it runs contrary to the official opinion is exactly the kind of behavior the First Amendment is meant to protect.

Simply declaring something as "*misinformation*" doesn't automatically mean the offending statement can be censored into oblivion. Not even on social media. "*Not just fringe views, but perfectly legitimate, responsible viewpoints and speakers have been unlawfully and unconstitutionally threatened in the modern public square.*"

Mark Zuckerberg blew the whistle recently about how the Federal Bureau of Instigation hammered them to hide any mention of Hunter Biden's notorious laptop. Any mention of an email originating on that laptop, or anything else, was instantly declared "*Russian disinformation*" and weighted like an anchor to drop right through everyone's news feed before it could be seen.



Calling verified true and timely news, which would have changed the outcome of the presidential election, *"Russian disinformation"* is *"misinformation"* by definition.

The Just Us Department claims they "believe in and we support freedom of speech, and we also believe it is important for all media platforms, including social media, to represent factual scientific information and combat misinformation and disinformation that can cost lives."

That's a bunch of fertilizer. Hiding the true origins of COVID and covering up the Biden family influence peddling scheme is just as dangerous. Dark Brandon is going for a legacy of immortality by starting World War Three with China, so we won't be bothered by the censorship much longer.

information' Emails

Louisiana federal judge Terry Doughty ordered the Biden regime to fork over "all relevant emails" sent by Palace press secretary Karine JeanPierre and Dr. Anthony Fauci to social media platforms "*regarding alleged misinformation and the censorship of social media content*." They have three weeks to do it, Doughty ruled Tuesday.

Misinformation or censorship?

On Tuesday, September 6, Trump appointed Judge Terry Doughty <u>ordered</u> the palace to hand over every single email, note, message, notice or letter they exchanged with social media platforms on the subject of "*misinformation*" or censorship of social media content. The decision was great news for Missouri Attorney General Eric Schmitt and Louisiana Attorney General Jeff Landry.

They filed the lawsuit which accuses Biden officials of "<u>suppressing</u> the constitutionally protected right to free speech on elections, the COVID-19 lab leak theory, coronavirus-related lockdowns and other issues."

The Just Us Department is screaming bloody murder about the ruling. Gestapo General Merrick Garland "objected to the handing over of the email correspondence under executive privilege and presidential communications privilege." Judge Doughty told him where he can stick his objection. Misinformation is too serious a label to be slapping around willy-nilly.






"This Court believes Plaintiffs are entitled to external communications by Jean-Pierre and Dr. Fauci in their capacities as White House Press Secretary and Chief Medical Advisor" when writing to social media platforms.

Plaintiffs argue that "having threatened and cajoled social-media platforms for years to censor viewpoints and speakers disfavored by the Left, senior government officials in the Executive Branch have moved into a phase of open collusion with social-media platforms under the Orwellian guise of halting so-called '**disinformation**,' '**misinformation**,' and '**malinformation**.'"

Conservative Americans agree with that assessment. They wonder when something will actually be done to correct the problems.



Suppression of free speech

The Constitution has a few things to say on the subject of free speech and suppressing speech because it runs contrary to the official opinion is exactly the kind of behavior the First Amendment is meant to protect.

Simply declaring something as "*misinformation*" doesn't automatically mean the offending statement can be censored into oblivion. Not even on social media. "*Not just fringe views, but perfectly legitimate, responsible viewpoints and speakers have been unlawfully and unconstitutionally threatened in the modern public square.*"

Mark Zuckerberg blew the whistle recently about how the Federal Bureau of Instigation hammered them to hide any mention of Hunter Biden's notorious laptop. Any mention of an email originating on that laptop, or anything else, was instantly declared "*Russian disinformation*" and weighted like an anchor to drop right through everyone's news feed before it could be seen.



Calling verified true and timely news, which would have changed the outcome of the presidential election, *"Russian disinformation"* is *"misinformation"* by definition.

The Just Us Department claims they "believe in and we support freedom of speech, and we also believe it is important for all media platforms, including social media, to represent factual scientific information and combat misinformation and disinformation that can cost lives."

That's a bunch of fertilizer. Hiding the true origins of COVID and covering up the Biden family influence peddling scheme is just as dangerous. Dark Brandon is going for a legacy of immortality by starting World War Three with China, so we won't be bothered by the censorship much longer.